

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/274,942	07/14/1994	PETER HAGMANN	CLV19623ACVE	2571
1095 7	7590 12/09/2002			
THOMAS HOXIE NOVARTIS, PATENT AND TRADEMARK DEPARTMENT ONE HEALTH PLAZA 430/2			EXAMINER	
			VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1732	9.5
			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2002	2. 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	08/274,942	HAGMANN et d.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
<del></del>	M.VAR60T	1732
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address-
Period for Reply	_	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default,</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ply within the statutory minin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133),
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on $9/26/6$	2	•
This action is <b>FINAL.</b>		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	for formal matters, <b>pros</b> C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	secution as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims	2. 0.	
X Claim(s) 1-5, 8-40, 42-61 + 6	3-81	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
$\square$ Claim(s) $1-5$ , $8-40$ , $42-61$ +	(5) 01	is/are allowed.
$\times$ Claim(s) $\frac{1-3}{6}$ , $\frac{6-43}{42}$ , $\frac{42-61}{42}$	- 63 - 81	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)		
Application Papers		requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	□ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	-(d).
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re		·
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International</li> </ul>		a))
*Certified copies not received:	•	••
		·
Attachment(s)		terview Summary, PTO-413
	s). □ Inf	
☐ Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(	•	•
	□ No	otice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Application/Control Number: 08/274942

Art Unit: 1732

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Claims 1-4, 8-40, 42-61 and 63-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark for reasons of record and as set forth in the Board decision, paper No. 20, mailed July 26, 2002.

- 2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark in view of European Patent Application 484,015 for reasons of record and as set forth in the Board decision mailed July 26, 2002.
- 3. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the parent application prior to the filing of this Continued Prosecution Application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action. Accordingly, **THIS**ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing under 37 CFR 1.53(d). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

Application/Control Number: 08/274942

Art Unit: 1732

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the

statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final

action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to M. Vargot whose telephone number is 703 308-2621.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0661.

M. Vargot

December 6, 2002

M. Vare of MATHIEU D. VARGOT PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300

Page 3

12/6/02